

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3601 OF 2005  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.3600 OF 2005**

.....  
Office Notes, Office  
memorandum of Coram,  
appearances, Court's  
orders or directions  
& Registrar's orders.  
.....

Court's or  
Judge's orders

Mr.S.R.Shinde, A.P.P. for Applicant-State  
Ms Preetam Tendolkar i/b Mr.M.S.Karnik for  
the Respondent Nos.1 to 5.

**CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.  
DATE : SEPTEMBER 5,2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard learned A.P.P. for the  
Applicant and the learned Advocate for the  
Respondent Nos.1 to 5. Application s  
strongly opposed by the Advocate for the  
Respondent Nos.1 to 5 by submitting that  
the delay is not properly explained.

2. After having gone through the  
Application, I find that there is  
sufficient explanation for delay.  
Sufficient cause is made out. Rule is  
made absolute in terms of prayer clause  
(a).

**JUDGE**